

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0106-PR

IN RE MARRIAGE OF PISANI

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **15-May-2024** Archive on: 10-Sep-2034 (planned)
Case Closed: **10-Sep-2024**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. MARIANNE PISANI, Appellee

(Litigant Group) MARIANNE PISANI

- Marianne Pisani

Attorneys for: Appellee

Keith Berkshire, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24107)
Alexandra Sandlin, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 35564)

Side 2. LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III, Appellant

(Litigant Group) LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III

- Louis Samuel Pisani, III

Attorneys for: Appellant

Richard Robert Luff, Jr., Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22931)

CASE STATUS

Sep 10, 2024....Case Closed

Sep 10, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA 2 CA-CV 23-0074					
PIM D20123909			Wayne E Yehling, Judge on PC Lisa Ilka Abrams, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

10-Sep-2024 ORDER

ORDERED: Second Amended Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: **10-Sep-2024**

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Denied

21 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 15-May-2024 FILED: Motion to Extend Filing Date for Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant L. Pisani)
2. 17-May-2024 FILED: Record From CofA: Link to Electronic Record
3. 20-May-2024 Appellant L. Pisani filed a "Motion to Extend Filing Date for Petition for Review" on May 15, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 27, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
4. 28-May-2024 FILED: Motion to Exceed Page Limit; Certificate of Service (Appellant L. Pisani)
5. 29-May-2024 FILED: [Replaced by 6/4/24 Filing] Petition for Review; [Replaced by 6/4/24 Filing] Certificate of Service; [Replaced by 6/4/24 Filing] Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision and Other Orders (Appellant L. Pisani)
6. 29-May-2024 FILED: Record From CofA: Link to Electronic Record

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0106-PR

IN RE MARRIAGE OF PISANI

21 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

7. 29-May-2024 Appellant L. Pisani filed a "Motion to Exceed Page Limit" on May 28, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion is not filed by June 5, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
8. 4-Jun-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00133 ; \$280.00, Authorization: 8211984485962578, Applied to: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - Class A Filing Fee (\$280.00) Paid for: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - By nCourt LLC
9. 4-Jun-2024 FILED: [Replaced by 6/7/24 Filing] Amended Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant L. Pisani)
10. 4-Jun-2024 FILED: Procedural Motion for Order Permitting Petitioner to File Amended Petition for Review to Comply With Word Limit; Certificate of Service (Appellant L. Pisani)
11. 7-Jun-2024 On June 4, 2024, Appellant L. Pisani filed an "Amended Petition for Review" and a "Procedural Motion for Order Permitting Petitioner to File Amended Petition for Review to Comply With Word Limit."

IT IS ORDERED granting the motion. The amended petition for review shall be filed as of June 4, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
12. 7-Jun-2024 FILED: Second Amended Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellant L. Pisani)
13. 7-Jun-2024 FILED: Procedural Motion for Order Permitting Petitioner to File Second Amended Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant L. Pisani)
14. 11-Jun-2024 On June 7, 2024, Appellant L. Pisani filed a "Second Amended Petition for Review" and a "Procedural Motion for Order Permitting Petitioner to File Second Amended Petition for Review."

IT IS ORDERED granting the motion. The second amended petition for review shall be filed as of June 7, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
15. 8-Jul-2024 FILED: Response to Second Amended Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellee M. Pisani)
16. 17-Jul-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00162 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 83378866800821971, Applied to: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - Appellee's Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - By nCourt LLC
17. 1-Aug-2024 FILED: Motion for Leave to File Reply; Certificate of Service; Reply to Appellee's Response to Petition for Review (Appellee L. Pisani)
18. 6-Aug-2024 On August 1, 2024, Petitioner filed a "Motion for Leave to File Reply." Upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED denying Petitioner's Motion for Leave to File Reply. The Petition for Review will be considered in due course. (Hon William G Montgomery)
19. 14-Aug-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00207 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 83378866800821971, Applied to: MARIANNE PISANI - Class B Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: MARIANNE PISANI - By nCourt LLC (Memo: Replaces Receipt No. 2024-00162, Receipt made for wrong lit party)
20. 14-Aug-2024 VOID RECEIPT No.: 2024-00162 - \$-140.00, Authorization: 83378866800821971, - Applied to: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - Appellee's Filing Fee (\$-140.00) Paid for: LOUIS SAMUEL ROBERT PISANI III - By: nCourt LLC VOID Reason: Incorrect party information entered in the "paid for" section. Replaced by #2024-00207. (tkl)
21. 10-Sep-2024 ORDERED: Second Amended Petition for Review = DENIED.

FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Attorneys' Fees (Appellant L. Pisani) = DENIED.

FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Attorneys' Fees (Appellee M. Pisani) = DENIED.

Chief Justice Timmer and Justice King voted to grant fees to Appellee.

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0106-PR

IN RE MARRIAGE OF PISANI

21 PROCEEDING ENTRIES